



SIEGEL SALE OCT - 7 1957 Tied S.F. p.m.

Date Aug 1 or 16  
1853

Former Guenther Cover  
TO PHILADELPHIA  
sold @ \$275<sup>00</sup>



P. F. CH.

387  12c Black, Diagonal Bisect (17a). Tied San Francisco, Cal., cover lightly stained, Very Fine, with Philatelic Foundation Certificate .... (Photo) E. XI

**SIEGEL SALE**

OCT - 7 1957

Tied San Francisco  
To Liverpool

29¢ Rate Over 14


Ex Caspary Lot

577 Sold To

CP. @ \$1600<sup>00</sup>

Sold @ \$1125<sup>00</sup>



385  12c Black, Horiz. Pair and Diagonal Bisect in Unsevered Strip (17a).  
Tied San Francisco, Cal. on cover to Liverpool paying 30c rate California  
to England, Red 19 and Transit markings, slight age stains, Very Rare  
Usage and Cover, Ex Caspary ..... (Photo) E. XV

SIEGEL SALE OCT - 7 1957


Tied New York  
SEP 9

384

Messrs. Fisher & Co.

Boston.


Via Nicaragua



VIA N In RED  
Signed Ashbrook  
And Illustrated  
In His Book

EX-RICHEY

Sold @ 800.00

384  12c Black, Diagonal Bisect (17a). Tied New York, Bold Via Nicaragua Ahead of the Mails in Red Rectangle on cover, ms "Via Nicaragua" Signed Ashbrook & illustrated in his book, light crease from letter fold, Very Fine appearing ..... (Photo) E. XIII

1851 - 12 + Bisect

Lot 72 - 12¢ black, split. Lower left diagonal half on cover from San Francisco to Wilmington tied over cut with black S.F. postmark. Cover shows small rectangular label reading, "From the Noisy Carriers' Publishing Hall, San Francisco, Cal." Small neat envelope.

Cat. \$700.00

Sold @ \$175.00


To E. Klein

Philip H. Ward, Jr. Sale of Dec. 6, 1938.

124 1851 Bisect From San Francisco  
Lot 255 - Upper Left Diagonal Half of 12c black  
used as 6c on cover to New York and forwarded  
to Marblehead, the New York cancellation covers  
the cut ~~xxx~~ and in addition the faint original  
San Francisco cancellation also covers the  
bisect. The cover is also cancelled "FORWARDED"  
in black, a very rare cover \$300

No Sale Price

J.C.Morgenthau & Co. Sale on Oct. 15, 16,  
20 and 21, 1924



Type IV

12¢ Bisect - 1851

From S.F. Oct. 1, 1853

See sailing from S.F. on this date for photo of  
cover in Kelleher sale - Mar. 12, 1940.

12d 1851 Bisect

Robt Laurence Sale

Jan 18 1937

Lot # 2

2 36 12c 1851, Lower right DIAGONAL BISECT, cut close at B, tied over cut with black SAN FRANCISCO circular canc. on fine folded letter sent by Macondray Co. from FRISCO, Jan. 15, 1853. Received BOSTON, Feb. 19. Pen marked, PER GOLDEN GATE. Very rare

450.00

12¢ 1851 Bisects

used @ San Francisco

See letter to Jessup by S.B.A.

re - theory of use

Scrap book #4 - page 54.

10 Rec: 6

Robert L. Imrie  
300 Brandywine  
Philadelphia  
Pa



HARVEY C. SMITH  
5/26-45



Brown  
pencil

James C. Wild Esq.  
Cashier of Boston Bank.

Boston.

Letter dated  
SF July 31 1853

Raymond H. Weir S/3-43

BTRE

Mass.

By Neill  
of N.O.

5/3 - 43

Carper  
E. A. Emerson

County Clerk  
Harrison County  
Geneva  
Illinois



Re - 124 Bisects - The Within - A Photo From E. Cole  
This Has No Date - From S.F. Sep 16 - Have  
Duplicate Of This Photo Filed Under "Ocean Mail  
From California" - In Year 1853 - A Bisect  
From Waterhouse Sale Used Sep 1 -  
A Date On This States 1853 -

SIEGEL SALE

LOT No, 375 OCT - 7 1957

Date Oct-1  
1853




Tied S.F. Cal  
on Cover To Boston  
DUE 10

OFFERED By E.N. SAMPSON  
Jan 1946 @ \$250<sup>00</sup>

Lot 29 Kellcher Sale 3/12-1940

P.F. Ctf. Dated 6/5-1947  
To Chas E Kilbourne }

Sold @ \$280<sup>00</sup>  
To Order

375  12c Black, Diagonal Bisect (17a). Tied San Francisco Cal. on cover to Boston, Black Due 10, Very Fine, with Philatelic Foundation Certificate

(Photo) E. XII

Letter Dated S.F. Sep 30 1853

12¢ 1851 Bisect

From S. F. Sept. 1, 1853  
"Per Oregon"

MacGuffin collection

11/28-36

12¢ Bisect - From S.F. Sept. 16 - ?

Photo by Cole - S.B.A. wrote Cole 10/30-1936

Cover probably O.K. use probably 1852 - no  
stamps in Calif. Sep. 16, 1851 - the 10 indi-  
cates the bisect was not recognized by S.F.P.O.  
hence the rate "10" meaning collect 10¢ on  
delivery -

12¢ Bisect - From S. F. Sep. 16 - struck twice and with 10 in circle. Photo by Ez. Cole Oct. 1936. This from the W. S. White Collection - Priced \$450. Cole writes 10/23-36 "The only cover in the lot I am not stuck on is the 12¢ bisect but it is signed and has the O.K. of Eddie Stern xxxx If I dared to soak it off cover I could tell in a minute.

12¢ Bisect - Photo by Cole

Perhaps this was a double weight letter -

"10" applied to cover the unpaid double weight sounds better than theory Bisect was not recognized.

12¢ 1851 Bisect from S.F.

Jessup wrote 11/29-1940

"I did not take the 12¢ bisect via Winfield Scott because I do not believe it is tied on properly. It undoubtedly is O.K. but one would have to go into the technique of philately to explain it or apologize for it, so I returned it to Larry Mason. You could send for it if you wish but I have an idea that he got it from your pal, Hardy."

12¢ Bisect

Worthington Sale Aug. 1917

Lot 115 described as follows:

12¢ black peculiarly cut and containing the upper right corner of the next stamp on cover from San Francisco to Boston, the cancellation covering the cut. Perhaps this was intended as three-quarters of 12¢ used as 9 cent; in any event a wonderfully fine and rare cover.

Sold for \$67.50

12¢ 1851

Bisect - a use Jan. 15, 1853 from S.F.

See Laurence 55th Sale Lot 125

Recd Oct 16<sup>th</sup> 1852 No 47

John B. Cleoth Esq  
East Hartford

Care of Messrs W & L Bunker



Michaels Cover

Recd Nov. 2nd  
1852



D. B. Olcott  
No 49  
East Hartford  
Conn

66-9-6.

Aug 8th  
Recd ~~of~~ 1853

James B. Olcott

Manchester

No 88

Conn.

12¢ Bisect Oct. 1, 1853 Kelleher Sale Mar 12, 1940 - Lot 29 - Regarding this S.B.A. wrote Kelleher 2/26/40: "Many thanks for sending me the 12¢ Bisect cover from S.F. The date, "1 Oct" is O.K. and there is no doubt the cover is perfectly good. The "Due 10" is one of the regular S.F. markings and the postmark is one used on this particular eastern mail. The bisects were recognized only at the S.F. office when they were out of 3¢ stamps. For the eastern mail of Oct. 1st, 1853, the S.F. P.O. had a liberal supply of 3¢ stamps, hence bisects used by this mail were not recognized, and such letters were rated as entirely unpaid. It is my contention that a bisect is only a provisional postage stamp when

it renders the service intended. When it failed to do this it has little more value than a tobacco tag tied by a postmark.

10



Mr Edward D Milford  
Patchogue  
Long Island  
N Y

1853  
HWC